**Kimble Neighbourhood Plan Working Group Meeting: Minutes**

Date 17/07/2019

Time: 7.30 pm

Venue: Kimble Stewart Hall

**Working Group Attendees:** Delia Burton, Tom Dixon, James Good, Sue Howgate, Robert Martin, Gerald Redding, David Williams

**In attendance:** Judith Orr and John Callaghan, Wycombe District Council (Minute 4.)

**Apologies:** James Cripps

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **AGENDA ITEM** | **Minutes** |
|  | Welcome, attendees, apologies | Apologies had been received from James Cripps. |
|  | Minutes of the 19 June 2019 meeting | Approved. |
|  | Conflicts of Interest Register | No updates were declared to the Conflicts of Interest Register. |
|  | Discussion with Judith Orr and John Callaghan | The meeting welcomed Judith Orr and John Callaghan. JG advised the meeting of the statutory responses which had been received on the NP pre-submission consultation. Judith Orr’s comments of 20 June 2019 to JG on the draft NP pre-submission plan were considered. Judith Orr advised that there had not been any changes to RUR6 since those agreed at the Inspector hearings. The Inspector’s report had been received back on the Local Plan, and Judith Orr expected it to be finalised in August 2019. JG advised that the earliest submission for the NP would be September 2019. In the discussion it became clear that Site 15 did not conform to the WDC PR3 plan; this deals with the potential land required for the Grove Lane realignment. The Site 15 boundary needed to be amended to reflect this and there would likely be an impact on Site 15 unit numbers, leading to more windfall. Advice would be sought from NH as to whether a revised plan was required from the promotor or if the same density is to be applied to the reduced Site area. In addition, the settlement boundary plan needed to be amended for Site 1. It seemed to include highway land outside the ownership, e.g. the wide grass area between the footpath and the road. The WG needed to ensure that Site 1 did not impact on the WDC PR3 plan land. The twin tracking issues around the railway were discussed and it was agreed that it is anticipated that appropriate mitigation had been incorporated into the Site 15 buffer, and the Submission Plan text would refer to not interfering. Judith Orr had concerns regarding the positive effects in the AECOM summary for Sites 1 and 10. NH would be asked that if these went neutral, would that have an impact on site assessment. Judith Orr agreed to revert with suggested wording for unit phasing in light of the likely reduced Site 15 unit numbers. The poor and infrequent public transport provision for the Parish was discussed and the reality of the requirement for parking at the units to be at the upper end of that permissible under the Buckinghamshire County Council framework. This would be addressed under the Submission Plan’s policies. Judith Orr and John Callaghan left the meeting. |
|  | Pre-submission consultation promotion - feedback | The feedback received from the consultation was considered. The view of the WG was that individual letters, for example from Whiteacre, should not be responded to in detail. However, respondents should be advised that their comments would be taken into consideration in the final Submission Plan to WDC. JG would seek the view of NH on this. |
| 6. | Any Other Business | JG would revert to AECOM on transport to see if that would affect the site assessment; required revised drafts of AECOM’s report can then be finalised with them. JG and TD would review the Submission Plan policies in light of WDCs comments. |
| 7. | Date of Next Meeting | Wednesday, 21 August 2019. |